NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

I.A. No. 1715 of 2025 <u>In</u> Comp. App. (AT) No. 55 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Dhani Services Ltd. & Ors.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

...Respondent(s)

Present:

For Appellant: Mrs. Munisha Gandhi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Vaibhav

Sharma, Ms. Salina Chalana and Ms. Vedika Gandhi,

Advocates

For Respondents:

ORDER (Hybrid Mode)

19.03.2025: IA No. 1715 of 2025

Ld. Sr. Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant has submitted that in para 8 of the order dated 28.02.2025 it has been recorded that "The Appellant has already given an undertaking to the learned NCLT to convene the meeting as per Section 103 of the Companies Act".

It is submitted that as a matter of fact, no undertaking has been given to the Ld. NCLT and this observation appears to have been inadvertently crept in the aforesaid order. She has thus requested that on her oral request, it may be observed that no undertaking has been given by the appellant to the NCLT.

We believe the Ld. Sr. Counsel that no undertaking is given to the Ld. NCLT to convene the meeting as per Section 103 of the Companies Act,

therefore, the observation made in paragraph 8 of the order dated 28.02.2025, mentioned herein above, may not be read as a part of this order.

IA No. 1715 of 2025 is accordingly disposed of.

[Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain] Member (Judicial)

> [Ajai Das Mehrotra] Member (Technical)

sr/md